PATENT COOPERATION TREA

	n the ERNATION	IAL PRELIMINAR	AMINING AUTHORITY						
To	: NA	SWI	ABEY OGILVY REN	MULT	PCT				
Su 19 Mc	GILVY REN ite 1600 81 McGill (ontréal, Qu ANADA	AULT College Avenue Sbec H3A 2Y3	ווות מו בייני או ביים	41516	REPLY TO! VRITTEN OPINION (PCT Rule 66)	· · ·			
		REÇU		" U DUE	ON JUL 26 2004 X				
		EMAI 2004	19 9	Date of mailing (day/month/year)	26.04.2004	. ·			
		OGIL QUEBEC		REPLY DUE	within 3 month(s) from the above date of mailing	g .			
PC	T/CA 03/01		International filing date (a 29.07.2003		Priority date (day/month/year) 29.07.2002				
	mational Pate 2N15/82	ent Classification (IPC) or t	both national classification a	and IPC					
1	licant IVERSITE	LAVAL et al.				("-			
		<u> </u>							
1.	This writte	en opinion is the first d	Irawn up by this Internati	ional Preliminary Exar	mining Authority.				
2.	This opini	ion contains indications	relating to the following	items:	•				
<u> </u> -	. ⊠	Basis of the opinion							
		Priority							
			•	ovelty, inventive step	and industrial applicability				
	IV □ V ⊠	Lack of unity of invent Reasoned statement of citations and explanat	· ·	ith regard to novelty, i	nventive step or industrial applicabi	ility;			
	VI 🗆	Certain documents cit		atement					
	VII 🗆		international application	1					
	VIII 🗆	Certain observations on the international application							
3.	The applic	cant is hereby invited to	o reply to this opinion.			f^-			
	of that time limit,	% <u>.</u> <							
	How?	By submitting a written reply, accompanied, where appropriate, by amendments, according to Rule 66.3. For the form and the language of the amendments, see Rules 66.8 and 66.9.							
	Also:	Also: For an additional opportunity to submit amendments, see Rule 66.4. For the examiner's obligation to consider amendments and/or arguments, see Rule 66.4 bis. For an informal communication with the examiner, see Rule 66.6.							
	If no reply i	is filed, the international p	ort will be established or	1 the basis of this opinion.					
The final date by which the international preliminary examination report must be established according to Rule 69.2 is: 29.11.2004									
Nome				A. II					
Name and mailing address of the international preliminary examining authority:			iai	Authorized Officer	Assertes Petr	encent.			



European Patent Office D-80298 Munich Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 epmu d Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465

Bilang, J

Formalities officer (incl. extension of time limits)
Faux, K
Telephone No. +49 89 2399-8062



I. Basis of the opinion

 With regard to the elements of the international application (Replacement sheets which have been furnish the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this opinion as "originally filed"): 	ned to
---	--------

	P	escription, Pages						
	1-	22	· .	as originally filed				
•	C	laims, Numbers	.•					
	1-	19		as originally filed				
	Di	awings, Sheets						
	1/4	1-4/4		as originally filed	í.			
2	. W lar	ith regard to the lang nguage in which the i	uage , all nternatio	I the elements marked above were available or furnished to this Authority in nal application was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.	th			
	Th	ese elements were a	vailable (or furnished to this Authority in the following language: , which is:				
		the language of pul	olication of ranslation	n furnished for the purposes of the international search (under Rule 23.1(b)) of the international application (under Rule 48.3(b)). n furnished for the purposes of international preliminary examination (under	·			
3.	Wi inte	th regard to any nucl ernational preliminary	eotide a examina	and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, the ation was carried out on the basis of the sequence listing:				
		contained in the inte	ernationa	al application in written form.				
		filed together with the	ne intern	ational application in computer readable form.				
		furnished subseque	ntly to th	nis Authority in written form.				
		furnished subseque	ntiy to th	nis Authority in computer readable form.				
		The statement that in the international a	the subs	sequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosu on as filed has been furnished.	re			
		The statement that listing has been furn	the inforr nished.	mation recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequen	сe			
4.	The	amendments have	resulted i	in the cancellation of:				
		the description,	pages:					
		the claims,	Nos.:					
		the drawings,	sheets:					
5.		This opinion has be been considered to	en establ go beyor	lished as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, since they have nd the disclosure as filed (Rule 70.2(c)).				
6.	Additional observations, if necessary:							

- V. Reasoned statement under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement
- 1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Claims

Inventive step (IS)

Claims

Industrial applicability (IA)

Claims

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet

- 1. The present application is concerned with a method of enhancing the nutritive value of a plant (or part thereof). The method comprises the step of neutralizing the activity of enzymes responsible for the proteolytic degradation of endogenous proteins with an inhibitor released from the plant.
- 2. None of the available prior art documents discloses a method according to claim 1 of the present application.
- However, there are some doubts whether the application can be reduced to 3. practise over the whole range claimed. In the prior art, reference is made to the increase of nutritional value through the inhibition of a proteinase inhibitor, which leads to increased degradation of endogenous proteins. This authority therefore concludes that the inhibition of protein degradation is not always linked to an enhanced nutritive value.
 - Moreover, it should be noted that the application does not demonstrate that the nutritive value would indeed be enhanced.